

ISSN: 1401-5617



---

# Cofinite Hochschild cohomology

Alexander Berglund

---

RESEARCH REPORTS IN MATHEMATICS  
NUMBER 1, 2007

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY

Electronic versions of this document are available at  
<http://www.math.su.se/reports/2007/1>

Date of publication: January 8, 2007

Postal address:  
Department of Mathematics  
Stockholm University  
S-106 91 Stockholm  
Sweden

Electronic addresses:  
<http://www.math.su.se/>  
[info@math.su.se](mailto:info@math.su.se)

# COFINITE HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY

ALEXANDER BERGLUND

ABSTRACT. First steps are taken towards a cohomology theory of associative algebras  $R$  over a commutative noetherian ring  $k$  using ‘cofinite cochains’, or ‘ $\delta$ -cochains’ as I will call them. These are defined using the subcomplex of the Hochschild cochain complex consisting of  $k$ -linear maps from  $R^{\otimes n}$  to the coefficient module that factor through a quotient algebra of  $R^{\otimes n}$  which is finitely generated as a  $k$ -module. Under certain reasonable conditions on  $R$ , it is possible to interpret cofinite cohomology, or ‘ $\delta$ -cohomology’, as a derived functor. I show that if  $R$  is a commutative noetherian  $k$ -algebra fulfilling these conditions, then the natural map from  $\delta$ -cohomology to Hochschild cohomology is an isomorphism.

This is an attempt to extend results of [2] where it is shown that the group cohomology  $H^*(G; Z)$  of a torsion-free finitely generated nilpotent group  $G$  may be computed using ‘numerical cochains’. The extension is two-fold: I consider associative algebras over a commutative noetherian ring  $k$ , as a generalization of group algebras over the integers. Secondly, numerical cochains are replaced by the more general cofinite cochains.

The results presented here are preliminary. However, I have tried to write the notes in an elementary and clear fashion so that anyone (including myself) interested in developing the theory further could pick up where I left it.

## CONTENTS

1. Preliminaries	1
2. $\delta$ -maps	2
3. $\delta$ -modules	4
4. The bifunctor $\text{Hom}_\delta(M, N)$	7
5. Hochschild cohomology and $\delta$ -cohomology	9
6. Preservation of filtered colimits	12
7. $\delta$ -cohomology as a derived functor	14
8. Change of ground ring	16
9. $\delta$ -cohomology of polynomial algebras	18
10. The cofinite topology	22
References	23

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout,  $k$  will denote a commutative noetherian ring with 1. Unadorned tensor products are over  $k$ . The term ‘ $k$ -algebra’ will mean ‘associative unitary  $k$ -algebra’. If  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra then ‘ $R$ -module’ will mean left  $R$ -module. We will use without reference standard results of homological algebra, such as those found in [1] or [4]. A  $k$ -module  $M$  is called *finite* if it is a finitely generated  $k$ -module. A

submodule  $M'$  of a  $k$ -module  $M$  is called *cofinite in  $M$*  (or just *cofinite* when  $M$  is clear from the context) if  $M/M'$  is finite. In this case we also say that the inclusion is cofinite.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian category, then  $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$  will denote the derived category of non-negative cochain complexes in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}^R$  will denote the abelian category of left  $R$ -modules and  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$  will denote the abelian category of  $\delta$ -modules over  $R$ , to be defined below. We denote by  $R^e = R \otimes R^{op}$  the enveloping  $k$ -algebra of  $R$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *The intersection of two cofinite submodules is cofinite.*

*Proof.* Let  $I, J$  be cofinite submodules of  $M$ . The kernel of the map  $M \rightarrow M/I \oplus M/J$  sending  $x$  to  $(x + I, x + J)$  is  $I \cap J$ , so the map factors through an injection of  $M/I \cap J$  into  $M/I \oplus M/J$ . Since the latter is finite, so is  $M/I \cap J$ . Here we of course use that  $k$  is noetherian.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** *If  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3$  are inclusions of  $k$ -modules then the inclusion  $M_1 \subseteq M_3$  is cofinite if and only if both the inclusions  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$  and  $M_2 \subseteq M_3$  are.*

*Proof.* This follows from the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_2/M_1 \longrightarrow M_3/M_1 \longrightarrow M_3/M_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

$\square$

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra.*

- *If  $M' \subseteq M$  is a cofinite inclusion of  $R$ -modules then there is a cofinite two-sided ideal  $I \subseteq R$  such that  $IM \subseteq M'$ .*
- *If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module then the inclusion  $IM \subseteq M$  is cofinite for any cofinite ideal  $I \subseteq R$ .*
- *In particular, any cofinite left or right ideal in  $R$  contains a cofinite two-sided ideal.*

*Proof.* Let  $M''$  be the  $k$ -finite  $R$ -module  $M/M'$ . There is a surjection of  $k$ -modules  $k^n \rightarrow M''$  for some  $n$ , so we get an embedding  $\text{Hom}_k(M'', M'') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(k^n, M'') \cong (M'')^n$ , showing that  $\text{Hom}_k(M'', M'')$  is  $k$ -finite. Let  $I \subseteq R$  be the kernel of the homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras  $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M'', M'')$  sending  $r \in R$  to the endomorphism  $x \mapsto rx$  of  $M''$ . Then  $I$  is a two-sided ideal and the induced injection of  $k$ -modules  $R/I \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M'', M'')$  shows that  $I$  is cofinite.

If  $M$  is finitely generated there is a surjection of  $R$ -modules  $R^n \rightarrow M$  for some  $n$ . If  $I$  is a cofinite ideal of  $R$  then we get a surjection  $(R/I)^n = R/I \otimes_R R^n \rightarrow R/I \otimes M \cong M/IM$ , which exhibits  $M/IM$  as a  $k$ -finite module.  $\square$

## 2. $\delta$ -MAPS

Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $N$  be a  $k$ -module. A  $k$ -linear map  $f: R \rightarrow N$  is called a  $\delta$ -map if it vanishes on some two-sided cofinite ideal of  $R$ . Equivalently,  $f$  is a  $\delta$ -map if it factors through a homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras  $\phi: R \rightarrow S$  where  $S$  is  $k$ -finite

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ & \searrow \phi & \nearrow \\ & S & \end{array}$$

The set of  $\delta$ -maps from  $R$  to  $N$  is denoted by  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R, N)$ . Given a  $k$ -linear map  $f: N \rightarrow M$ , composition with  $f$  from the left takes  $\delta$ -maps to  $\delta$ -maps, so  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R, -)$  is a subfunctor of the functor  $\text{Hom}_k(R, -)$  on the category of  $k$ -modules.

**Maximal cofinite ideals.** Let  $N$  be a  $k$ -module and let  $f: R \rightarrow N$  be a  $k$ -linear map. For  $r, s \in R$ ,  $sfr$  is the map  $(sfr)(x) = f(rxs)$ . The  $k$ -module

$$J_f = \bigcap_{r, s \in R} \text{Ker}(sfr)$$

is a two-sided ideal contained in  $\text{Ker } f$ . If  $f$  vanishes on a two-sided cofinite ideal  $I$ , then certainly  $I \subseteq J_f$ , so  $J_f$  contains all cofinite two-sided ideals contained in  $\text{Ker } f$ . Therefore we have the following

**Proposition 2.2.**  *$f: R \rightarrow N$  is a  $\delta$ -map if and only if  $J_f$  is cofinite, and in this case  $J_f$  is the unique maximal cofinite two-sided ideal contained in  $\text{Ker } f$ .*

If  $R$  and  $S$  are  $k$ -algebras, then  $R \otimes S$  is a  $k$ -algebra by  $(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (ac) \otimes (bd)$ . In particular we can form the algebra  $R^{\otimes n} = R \otimes \dots \otimes R$  ( $n$  factors). Let  $\iota_m: R \rightarrow R^{\otimes n}$  be the natural homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras sending  $r$  to  $1 \otimes \dots \otimes r \otimes \dots \otimes 1$  ( $r$  at the  $m^{\text{th}}$  factor). If  $\phi: R \rightarrow R'$  and  $\psi: S \rightarrow S'$  are homomorphisms of  $k$ -algebras, then there is an induced homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras  $\phi \otimes \psi: R \otimes S \rightarrow R' \otimes S'$ , mapping  $a \otimes b$  to  $\phi(a) \otimes \psi(b)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be  $k$ -algebras. Any cofinite ideal in  $R \otimes S$  contains an ideal of the form  $I \otimes S + R \otimes J$ , where  $I$  and  $J$  are cofinite ideals in  $R$  and  $S$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* Let  $I \subseteq R \otimes S$  be a cofinite ideal. Then  $I_R = i_R^{-1}(I)$  and  $I_S = i_S^{-1}(I)$ , where  $i_R$  and  $i_S$  are the natural homomorphisms  $R, S \rightarrow R \otimes S$ , are ideals in  $R$  and  $S$ , respectively. The induced maps

$$R/I_R \longrightarrow R \otimes S/I \longleftarrow S/I_S$$

are injective, which shows that  $I_R$  and  $I_S$  are cofinite. Clearly, the ideal  $I_R \otimes S + R \otimes I_S$  is contained in  $I$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *A  $k$ -linear map  $f: R^{\otimes n} \rightarrow N$  is a  $\delta$ -map if and only if it factors as*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ & \searrow \phi & \nearrow \\ & S^{\otimes n} & \end{array}$$

where  $S$  is a  $k$ -finite algebra and  $\phi$  is induced by a surjective homomorphism of algebras  $R \rightarrow S$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, the condition is sufficient. To show necessity, factor  $f$  as  $R^{\otimes n} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow N$ , where  $Q$  is a  $k$ -finite quotient of  $R^{\otimes n}$ . Using the previous proposition and induction, the kernel of the surjection  $R^{\otimes n} \rightarrow Q$  contains an ideal of the form  $\sum_{i+j=n-1} R^{\otimes i} \otimes I_i \otimes R^{\otimes j}$  where  $I_1, \dots, I_n \subseteq R$  are cofinite ideals. Then  $J = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n$  is a cofinite ideal and  $R^{\otimes n} \rightarrow Q$  factors as  $R^{\otimes n} \rightarrow S^{\otimes n} \rightarrow Q$ , where  $S = R/J$ .  $\square$

3.  $\delta$ -MODULES

Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The following are equivalent for an  $R$ -module  $M$ :*

- $M$  is a filtered colimit of  $k$ -finite  $R$ -modules.
- Every cyclic submodule of  $M$  is  $k$ -finite.
- Every  $R$ -finite submodule of  $M$  is  $k$ -finite.
- The annihilator of each finite  $k$ -submodule of  $M$  is a cofinite ideal.

*Proof.* The second and third are equivalent since an  $R$ -finite submodule is a finite sum of cyclic submodules. If  $N$  is a finite  $k$ -submodule of  $M$ , say generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , then  $\text{Ann } N = \text{Ann } x_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{Ann } x_n$  is cofinite because each  $\text{Ann } x_i$  is, as  $R/\text{Ann } x_i \cong Rx_i$ . The remaining implications are left to the reader.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a  $\delta$ -module over  $R$  if it satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.1.

If  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra then let  $\mathcal{A}^R$  denote the abelian category of left  $R$ -modules. Let  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}^R$  whose objects are the  $\delta$ -modules.

**Proposition 3.3.**  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$  is a cocomplete abelian category. Furthermore, if  $R$  is noetherian then  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$  is a Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}^R$ .

*Proof.* As  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$  by definition is a full subcategory of an abelian category, it suffices to check that submodules, quotients and direct sums of  $\delta$ -modules are  $\delta$ -modules. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M'' \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. Suppose that  $M$  is a  $\delta$ -module. Then for any  $x \in M'$ , we have that  $Rx \cong Rf(x) \subseteq M$  is  $k$ -finite, so  $M'$  is a  $\delta$ -module. If  $y \in M''$ , let  $g(x) = y$ . Then  $Rx$  is  $k$ -finite and the surjection  $g: Rx \rightarrow Ry$  shows that  $Ry$  is  $k$ -finite.

Let  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of  $\delta$ -modules. If  $x \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  then  $x = \sum_{i \in J} x_i$ , where  $x_i \in M_i$ , for some finite subset  $J \subseteq I$ . Therefore,  $Rx$  is a submodule of  $\bigoplus_{i \in J} Rx_i$ . The latter, being a finite direct sum of  $k$ -finite  $R$ -modules, is  $k$ -finite, so  $Rx$  must be  $k$ -finite. Hence  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is a  $\delta$ -module.

Suppose now that  $R$  is noetherian. We need to show that if  $M'$  and  $M''$  are  $\delta$ -modules in the short exact sequence above, then so is  $M$ . Let  $x \in M$ . There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Rx \cap M' \longrightarrow Rx \longrightarrow Rg(x) \longrightarrow 0$$

Here  $Rg(x)$  is  $k$ -finite as  $g(x) \in M''$  and  $M''$  is a  $\delta$ -module. The  $R$ -module  $Rx \cap M'$  is a submodule of the finitely generated module  $Rx$  and is therefore itself finitely generated, since  $R$  is assumed to be noetherian. But it is also a submodule of the  $\delta$ -module  $M'$ , so it must then be  $k$ -finite. Thus,  $Rx$  is an extension of  $k$ -finite modules and is therefore  $k$ -finite. This proves that  $M$  is a  $\delta$ -module.  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Define

$$M_\delta = \{x \in M \mid Rx \text{ is } k\text{-finite}\}.$$

Clearly,  $M_\delta$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  and it is the largest  $\delta$ -submodule of  $M$ . It is the union of all  $k$ -finite  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ . If  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is a map of  $R$ -modules, then the induced map  $Rx \rightarrow Rf(x)$  is surjective, so  $f(x) \in N_\delta$  if  $x \in M_\delta$ . In other words,  $f$  restricts to a map of  $\delta$ -modules  $M_\delta \rightarrow N_\delta$ , so we can regard  $(-)_\delta$  as a functor from  $\mathcal{A}^R$  to  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *The functor*

$$(-)_\delta: \mathcal{A}^R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\delta^R$$

*is right adjoint to the exact inclusion functor*

$$\iota_R: \mathcal{A}_\delta^R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^R.$$

*Proof.* This amounts to the fact that if  $M$  is a  $\delta$ -module and  $N$  an  $R$ -module, then any map of  $R$ -modules  $f: M \rightarrow N$  factors through  $N_\delta$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.**  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$  *has enough injectives.*

*Proof.* If  $I$  is an injective  $R$ -module, then  $I_\delta$  is an injective object of  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$  because the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_\delta^R}(-, I_\delta) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\iota_R(-), I)$  is the composite of two exact functors. Thus, if  $M \in \mathcal{A}_\delta^R$  then an embedding of  $M$  into an injective  $R$ -module  $I$  gives rise to an embedding of  $M = M_\delta$  into the injective object  $I_\delta$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Suppose that  $R$  is noetherian. Then  $(M/M_\delta)_\delta = 0$  for any  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

*Proof.* In fact, this holds for any right adjoint of an inclusion  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  of a Serre subcategory.

Suppose that  $N$  is a  $\delta$ -submodule of  $M/M_\delta$ . We need to show that  $N = 0$ . Let  $\pi: M \rightarrow M/M_\delta$  be the projection and let  $L = \pi^{-1}(N)$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$  is a Serre subcategory, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_\delta \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\pi} N \longrightarrow 0$$

shows that  $L$  is a  $\delta$ -module. But then applying  $(-)_\delta$  to the sequence of inclusions  $M_\delta \subseteq L \subseteq M$  yields  $L = M_\delta$ , which means that  $N = 0$ .  $\square$

One can characterize  $\delta$ -modules over  $R$  as filtered colimits of  $k$ -finite  $R$ -modules. Moreover, it is obvious that any filtered colimit of  $\delta$ -modules is a  $\delta$ -module. In fact, there is yet another way to write  $\delta$ -modules as filtered colimits. For a two-sided ideal  $I$  in  $R$ , the forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{A}^{R/I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^R$$

has a right adjoint,

$$(-)^I: \mathcal{A}^R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{R/I}.$$

If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module then

$$M^I = \{x \in M \mid Ix = 0\}.$$

This is the largest submodule of  $M$  which is a module over  $R/I$ . If  $I \subseteq J$  then there is an obvious inclusion  $M^J \subseteq M^I$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then*

$$M_\delta = \cup_I M^I,$$

where the union is over the set of cofinite two-sided ideals in  $R$ . Furthermore,

$$(M_\delta)^I = M^I$$

for any cofinite two-sided ideal  $I \subseteq R$ .

*Proof.* If  $I$  is a cofinite two-sided ideal in  $R$  then  $M^I \subseteq M_\delta$ , because for any  $x \in M^I$  we have  $Rx \cong R/\text{Ann}(x)$  which is  $k$ -finite as  $I \subseteq \text{Ann}(x)$ . Conversely, if  $x \in M_\delta$  then  $\text{Ann}(x)$  is a cofinite left ideal of  $R$ . By Proposition 1.3,  $\text{Ann}(x)$  contains a cofinite two-sided ideal  $I$ , and then  $x \in M^I$ .  $\square$

**$\delta$ -bimodules.** As usual, an  $R$ -bimodule is thought of as a module over the  $k$ -algebra  $R^e = R \otimes R^{op}$ . Thus, a  $\delta$ -bimodule is an object of  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^{R^e}$ . One can characterize  $\delta$ -bimodules in terms of their left and right  $R$ -module structures.

**Proposition 3.9.** *The following are equivalent for an  $R$ -bimodule  $M$ :*

- $M$  is a  $\delta$ -bimodule.
- $M$  is simultaneously a right and left  $\delta$ -module over  $R$ .
- $\text{Ann}^b(x) = \{r \in R \mid rx = xr = 0\}$  is a cofinite  $k$ -submodule of  $R$  for each  $x \in M$ .

Furthermore, the right adjoint  $(-)_\delta: \mathcal{A}^{R^e} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\delta^{R^e}$  of the forgetful functor from  $R$ -bimodules to  $\delta$ -bimodules is given by

$$M_\delta = \{x \in M \mid Rx \text{ and } xR \text{ are } k\text{-finite}\}$$

*Proof.* Any  $\delta$ -bimodule is a left and right  $\delta$ -module because  $Rx$  and  $xR$  are  $k$ -submodules of  $RxR$  for each  $x \in M$ , so finiteness of the latter  $k$ -module implies finiteness of the former ones as  $k$  is assumed noetherian.

Suppose  $M$  is a left and right  $\delta$ -module and let  $x \in M$ . Then  $Rx$  is  $k$ -finite, say generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in Rx \subseteq M$ . Each  $x_i R$  is  $k$ -finite, and therefore so is  $RxR = x_1 R + \dots + x_n R$ .  $\square$

For an  $R$ -bimodule  $M$  and a two-sided ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , we set

$$M^I = \{x \in M \mid Ix = xI = 0\}.$$

This is a bimodule over  $R/I$  and the functor  $(-)^I: \mathcal{A}^{R^e} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(R/I)^e}$  from  $R$ -bimodules to  $R/I$ -bimodules is right adjoint to the forgetful functor. Furthermore, as in Proposition 3.8 we have

$$M_\delta = \cup_I M^I$$

for bimodules  $M$  over  $R$ , where the union is over all cofinite two-sided ideals  $I \subseteq R$ , and we have

$$(M_\delta)^I = M^I$$

for all such  $I$ .



4. THE BIFUNCTOR  $\text{Hom}_\delta(M, N)$ 

The notion of  $\delta$ -maps may be extended to modules over  $R$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and let  $N$  be a  $k$ -module. A  $k$ -linear map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is called a  $\delta$ -map over  $R$ , or simply a  $\delta$ -map if there is no risk of confusion, if  $f$  vanishes on some  $k$ -cofinite  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . The set of  $\delta$ -maps from  $M$  to  $N$  will be denoted  $\text{Hom}_\delta(M, N)$ .

By Proposition 1.3, a  $k$ -linear map  $R \rightarrow N$  vanishes on some left ideal if and only if it does so on some two-sided ideal, so Definition 2.1 is an extension of Definition 4.1.

Note that  $\text{Hom}_\delta(M, N)$  is a right  $R$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$ . Indeed, if  $I$  is a cofinite submodule of  $M$  contained in the kernel of some  $k$ -linear map  $f: M \rightarrow N$ , then  $I \subseteq \text{Ker } fr$  for any  $r \in R$ , and if  $g$  is another  $\delta$ -map that vanishes on a cofinite submodule  $J$ , then  $\text{Ker}(f + g) \supseteq \text{Ker } f \cap \text{Ker } g \supseteq I \cap J$ , so that  $f + g$  is a  $\delta$ -map.

The next proposition tells us that  $\text{Hom}_\delta(-, -)$  may be considered as a bifunctor from  $\mathcal{A}^R \times \mathcal{A}^k$  to  $\mathcal{A}^{R^{op}}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** Suppose given maps  $M' \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} N'$  where  $\phi$  is a map of  $R$ -modules,  $f$  is a  $\delta$ -map and  $g$  is  $k$ -linear. Then  $gf$  and  $f\phi$  are  $\delta$ -maps.

*Proof.* By assumption,  $\text{Ker } f$  contains a cofinite submodule  $I$  of  $M$ . Since  $\text{Ker } gf \supseteq \text{Ker } f \supseteq I$ , we see that  $gf$  is a  $\delta$ -map. The  $R$ -submodule  $\phi^{-1}(I)$  of  $M'$  is contained in  $\text{Ker } f\phi$  and the induced map of  $R$ -modules  $M'/\phi^{-1}(I) \rightarrow M/I$  is injective, which shows that  $\phi^{-1}(I)$  is cofinite.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** For a fixed  $k$ -module  $N$ , the functor  $\text{Hom}_\delta(-, N): \mathcal{A}^{R^{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^R$  is left exact. If  $N$  is an injective  $k$ -module then  $\text{Hom}_\delta(-, N)$  takes cofinite inclusions to surjections.

*Proof.* Let  $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\mu} M \xrightarrow{\epsilon} M'' \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules. We must show that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_\delta(M'', N) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} \text{Hom}_\delta(M, N) \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \text{Hom}_\delta(M', N)$$

is exact, where  $\epsilon^*(f) = f \circ \epsilon$  and  $\mu^*(g) = g \circ \mu$ . Clearly,  $\epsilon^*(f) = 0$  implies  $f = 0$  because  $\epsilon$  is surjective. If  $g$  is a  $\delta$ -map with  $\mu^*(g) = 0$ , then  $g = f \circ \epsilon$  for some  $f \in \text{Hom}_k(M'', N)$ , since the functor  $\text{Hom}_k(-, N)$  is left exact. We must show that  $f$  is a  $\delta$ -map. Let  $I$  be a cofinite submodule of  $M$  contained in  $\text{Ker } g$ . Then  $\epsilon(I)$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M''$  contained in  $\text{Ker } f$ , and it is cofinite because of the surjection  $M/I \rightarrow M''/\epsilon(I)$  induced by  $\epsilon$ .

Next, suppose that  $N$  is injective and that the inclusion  $M' \rightarrow M$  is cofinite, i.e., the quotient  $M''$  is  $k$ -finite. Given a  $\delta$ -map  $f: M' \rightarrow N$  we must produce a  $\delta$ -map  $g: M \rightarrow N$  that extends  $f$ . But  $N$  is injective, so we can at least find a  $k$ -linear map  $g$  extending  $f$ . Let  $I \subseteq M'$  be a cofinite submodule on which  $f$  vanishes. If we assume that  $M' \rightarrow M$  is cofinite then by transitivity (Proposition 1.2) the composed map  $I \rightarrow M$  is cofinite. Hence  $g$  is a  $\delta$ -map as it vanishes on the image of  $I$  in  $M$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $M$  be a finitely presented  $R$ -module and let  $N$  be a  $k$ -module. There is an isomorphism of right  $R$ -modules

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_\delta(R, N)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\delta(M, N),$$

which is natural for maps of finitely presented  $R$ -modules.

*Proof.* The map is defined by sending an  $R$ -linear map  $f: M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\delta(R, N)$  to the map  $g: M \rightarrow N$  given by  $g(x) = f(x)(1)$ . We need to check that  $g$  is indeed a  $\delta$ -map. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be  $R$ -module generators for  $M$ . Each  $f(x_i)$  is a  $\delta$ -map from  $R$  to  $N$ . Say  $f(x_i)$  vanishes on a cofinite ideal  $I_i$ . Then  $I = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n$  is a cofinite ideal so that  $IM$  is a cofinite submodule of  $M$ , by Proposition 1.3. Clearly,  $g$  vanishes on  $IM$ .

The map just defined is clearly natural in  $M$ , so we have a natural transformation of contravariant functors from finitely generated  $R$ -modules to  $k$ -modules

$$\text{Hom}_R(-, \text{Hom}_\delta(R, N)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\delta(-, N).$$

These functors are both additive and left exact (Proposition 4.3) and they agree on  $R$ . Therefore they agree on all finitely presented  $R$ -modules.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *A  $k$ -linear map  $f: R \rightarrow N$  is a  $\delta$ -map if and only if the  $R$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_k(R, N)$  generated by  $f$  is  $k$ -finite. In other words,  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R, N) = \text{Hom}_k(R, N)_\delta$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, note that for any left ideal  $I \subseteq R$  and any  $k$ -linear map  $f: R \rightarrow N$  we have that  $I \subseteq \text{Ker } f$  if and only if  $I \subseteq \text{Ann } f$ . Indeed,  $\text{Ann } f \subseteq \text{Ker } f$  is obvious, and for the converse, suppose  $I \subseteq \text{Ker } f$  and let  $a \in I$ . Then for any  $x \in R$ ,  $(af)(x) = f(xa) = 0$ , since  $xa \in I$  as  $I$  is a left ideal.

Let  $I \subseteq R$  be a cofinite ideal contained in  $\text{Ker } f$ . Then  $I \subseteq \text{Ann } f$ , so  $\text{Ann } f$  is a cofinite, and therefore  $Rf \cong R/\text{Ann } f$  is finite. Conversely, if  $Rf$  is finite, then  $\text{Ann } f$  is a cofinite left ideal of  $R$  contained in  $\text{Ker } f$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.6.** A  $k$ -algebra  $R$  is called *almost finite* if every non-zero ideal in  $R$  is cofinite.

If  $k$  is a field and  $R$  is a Dedekind domain over  $k$ , then  $R$  is almost finite because the quotient by any non-zero ideal is an artinian  $k$ -algebra which is finite dimensional as a  $k$ -vector space.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Suppose that  $R$  is an almost finite noetherian  $k$ -algebra. Then the functor  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R, -)$  from  $\mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^R$  takes injective  $k$ -modules to injective  $R$ -modules.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be an injective  $k$ -module. The  $R$ -module  $E = \text{Hom}_\delta(R, D)$  is injective if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(-, E)$  takes inclusions of left ideal  $I \subseteq R$  to surjections. Since  $R$  is assumed noetherian all ideals in  $R$  are finitely presented, so by Proposition 4.4 we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_R(R, \text{Hom}_\delta(R, D)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}_\delta(R, D) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_R(I, \text{Hom}_\delta(R, D)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}_\delta(I, D) \end{array}$$

This shows that  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R, D)$  is injective if and only if a surjection  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R, D) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\delta(I, D)$  is induced when  $I \rightarrow R$  is the inclusion of an ideal into  $R$ . By 4.3,  $\text{Hom}_\delta(-, D)$  takes cofinite inclusions to surjections. We assume that  $R$  is almost finite, i.e., that all non-zero ideals in  $R$  are cofinite, so it follows that  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R, D)$  is an injective  $R$ -module.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *If  $R$  is an almost finite noetherian  $k$ -algebra then any  $R$ -module  $M$  may be embedded into an injective  $R$ -module  $E$  such that  $E_\delta$  is also injective as an  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* The functor  $\text{Hom}_k(R, -)$  from  $\mathcal{A}^k$  to  $\mathcal{A}^R$  is right adjoint to the exact forgetful functor  $\mathcal{A}^R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^k$ , so it preserves injectives. The left  $R$ -module structure on  $\text{Hom}_k(R, N)$  is given by  $rf(s) = f(sr)$ . Let  $i: M \rightarrow D$  be an injective  $k$ -linear map where  $D$  is an injective  $k$ -module. Then there is an embedding of  $R$ -modules

$$M \xrightarrow{g} \text{Hom}_k(R, M) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Hom}_k(R, D),$$

where for  $x \in M$ , the  $k$ -linear map  $g(x): R \rightarrow M$  is defined by  $g(x)(r) = rx$  for  $r \in R$ . The  $R$ -module  $E = \text{Hom}_k(R, D)$  is injective, and by Proposition 4.5, the  $R$ -module  $E_\delta = \text{Hom}_k(R, D)_\delta$  may be identified with  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R, D)$ , and this is injective by Proposition 4.7.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.** *If  $R$  is an almost finite noetherian  $k$ -algebra, then the inclusion functor*

$$\iota: \mathcal{A}_\delta^R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^R$$

*preserves injective objects.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an injective object in  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$ . Embed  $I$  into an  $R$ -module  $E$  such that  $E$  and  $E_\delta$  are both injective  $R$ -modules. Since  $I$  is a  $\delta$ -module,  $I$  lands inside the  $\delta$ -module  $E_\delta$ . The monomorphism  $I \rightarrow E_\delta$  in  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$  splits as  $I$  is injective in this category, so  $I$  is a direct summand of  $E_\delta$  in  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$ . But as  $\iota: \mathcal{A}_\delta^R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^R$  is fully faithful and exact,  $I$  is also a direct summand of  $E_\delta$  in  $\mathcal{A}^R$ . Being a direct summand in an injective  $R$ -module, the  $R$ -module  $I$  is itself injective.  $\square$

## 5. HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY AND $\delta$ -COHOMOLOGY

**Cosimplicial  $k$ -modules.** If  $A = \{A^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a cosimplicial  $k$ -module, then its associated cochain complex is the graded  $k$ -module  $A$  with differential  $\partial = \sum_i (-1)^i d^i$ . The *normalized cochain complex* is the graded  $k$ -module  $NA = \{NA^n\}$ , where

$$NA^n = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker}(s^i) \subseteq A^n.$$

The cosimplicial identities ensure that  $NA$  is preserved by  $\partial$  (however,  $NA$  is not necessarily preserved by the individual  $d^i$ ). Obviously,  $NA$  is functorial in  $A$ . The inclusion  $NA \rightarrow A$  is a quasi-isomorphism of cochain complexes. Therefore a map  $f: A \rightarrow B$  of cosimplicial  $k$ -modules is a weak equivalence if and only if  $Nf: NA \rightarrow NB$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

**The Hochschild cosimplicial  $k$ -module of a  $k$ -algebra.** Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -bimodule. The *Hochschild cosimplicial  $k$ -module* is the graded  $k$ -module  $C^*(R; M) = \{\text{Hom}_k(R^{\otimes n}, M)\}_{n \geq 0}$  with coface and codegeneracy maps

$$\begin{aligned} (d^0 f)(r_0, \dots, r_n) &= r_0 f(r_1, \dots, r_n) \\ (d^i f)(r_0, \dots, r_n) &= f(r_0, \dots, r_{i-1} r_i, \dots, r_n) \quad (0 < i < n+1) \\ (d^{n+1} f)(r_0, \dots, r_n) &= f(r_0, \dots, r_{n-1}) r_n \\ (s^i f)(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) &= f(r_1, \dots, r_i, 1, r_{i+1}, \dots, r_{n-1}) \quad (0 \leq i \leq n-1) \end{aligned}$$

By definition, the *Hochschild cohomology of  $R$  with coefficients in  $M$* ,  $H^*(R; M)$ , is the cohomology of the corresponding cochain complex. The normalized cochain

complex  $NC^*(R; M)$  coincides with the classical normalized Hochschild cochain complex.

**$\delta$ -cochains.** Let  $C_\delta^*(R, M)$  denote the graded  $k$ -submodule of  $C^*(R, M)$  with

$$C_\delta^n(R, M) = \text{Hom}_\delta(R^{\otimes n}, M).$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *If  $f \in \text{Hom}_\delta(R^{\otimes n}, M)$ , then  $d^i f \in \text{Hom}_\delta(R^{\otimes n+1}, M)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $s^j f \in \text{Hom}_\delta(R^{\otimes n-1}, M)$  for all  $j$ .*

*Proof.* Note that for  $0 < i < n$ ,  $d^i f = f \circ d_i$ , where  $d_i: R^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow R^{\otimes n}$  sends  $r_0 \otimes \dots \otimes r_n$  to  $r_0 \otimes \dots \otimes r_{i-1} r_i \otimes \dots \otimes r_n$ . Suppose  $f$  factors as  $R^{\otimes n} \rightarrow S^{\otimes n} \rightarrow M$ , where the first map is induced by a surjective homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$  onto a  $k$ -finite algebra  $S$ , as in Proposition 2.4. The map  $d_i$  is natural in  $k$ -algebras, so the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R^{\otimes n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_i} & R^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ S^{\otimes n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_i} & S^{\otimes n} & & \end{array}$$

commutes, and yields a factorization of  $d^i f = f \circ d_i$  of the required type.

One proceeds similarly for the codegeneracies by noting that  $s^j f = f \circ s_j$ , where  $s_j: R^{\otimes n-1} \rightarrow R^{\otimes n}$  is the map, natural in  $R$ , sending  $r_1 \otimes \dots \otimes r_{n-1}$  to  $r_1 \otimes \dots \otimes r_i \otimes 1 \otimes r_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes r_{n-1}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Suppose that  $M$  is a left  $\delta$ -module over  $R$ . Then  $d^0 f$  is a  $\delta$ -map whenever  $f$  is one. Similarly, if  $M$  is a right  $\delta$ -module over  $R$ , then  $d^n f$  is a  $\delta$ -map if  $f$  is one.*

*Proof.* Let  $J \subseteq R^{\otimes n}$  be a cofinite ideal contained in  $\text{Ker } f$ . The kernel of  $d^0 f$  contains the ideal  $K = \text{Ann}(\text{Im } f) \otimes R^{\otimes n} + R \otimes J$  of  $R^{\otimes n+1}$ , and

$$R^{\otimes n+1}/K \cong \frac{R}{\text{Ann}(\text{Im } f)} \otimes \frac{R^{\otimes n}}{J}.$$

But  $\text{Im } f$  is a finite  $k$ -submodule of  $M$ , so as  $M$  is a  $\delta$ -module,  $\text{Ann}(\text{Im } f)$  is a cofinite ideal, by Proposition 3.1. Therefore, both factors above are  $k$ -finite, so  $K$  is cofinite.

The second part of the proposition is proved in the same way.  $\square$

By Proposition 3.9, a  $\delta$ -bimodule over  $R$ , i.e. a  $\delta$ -module over  $R^e = R \otimes R^{op}$ , is the same thing as a bimodule over  $R$  which is simultaneously a left and right  $\delta$ -module over  $R$ , so we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.3.** *If  $M$  is a  $\delta$ -bimodule over  $R$ , then  $C_\delta^*(R, M)$  is a cosimplicial submodule of  $C^*(R, M)$ .*

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $M$  be a  $\delta$ -bimodule over  $R$ . The  $\delta$ -cohomology of  $R$  with coefficients in  $M$ ,  $H_\delta^*(R, M)$ , is the cohomology of the cosimplicial  $k$ -module  $C_\delta^*(R, M)$ ,

$$H_\delta^n(R, M) = H^n(C_\delta^*(R, M)).$$

The inclusion  $C_\delta^*(R, M) \subseteq C^*(R, M)$  induces a map of graded  $k$ -modules

$$H_\delta^*(R, M) \rightarrow H^*(R, M).$$

One might ask under what circumstances this map is an isomorphism.

For  $m \in M$ ,  $\partial_0(m)$  is the map  $R \rightarrow M$  given by  $\partial_0(m)(r) = rm - mr$ . Since  $M$  is a  $\delta$ -bimodule,  $\partial_0(m)$  is always a  $\delta$ -map. Therefore we always have

$$H_\delta^0(R, M) = H^0(R, M) = \{m \in M \mid rm = mr \text{ for all } r \in R\}.$$

**$\delta$ -derivations and  $H^1$ .** The  $\delta$ -cocycles of degree 1 are precisely the  $\delta$ -derivations, i.e., the  $\delta$ -maps  $d: R \rightarrow M$  satisfying

$$d(rs) = rd(s) + d(r)s.$$

The 1-coboundaries are the inner derivations  $r \mapsto rm - mr$ , and since all these are  $\delta$ -maps, the map  $H_\delta^1(R, M) \rightarrow H^1(R, M)$  is injective, and it is surjective if and only if all derivations  $d: R \rightarrow M$  are  $\delta$ -derivations.

**Lemma 5.5.** *A derivation  $d: R \rightarrow M$  is a  $\delta$ -derivation if and only if the  $k$ -module  $\text{Im } d$  is finitely generated.*

*Proof.* Let  $d: R \rightarrow M$  be a derivation. Clearly, if  $d$  is  $\delta$ -map, then  $\text{Im } d$  is  $k$ -finite. Conversely, since  $(sdr)(x) = d(rxs) = d(r)xs + rd(x)s + rxd(s)$  for any  $r, s, x \in R$ , there is an inclusion of  $k$ -modules

$$\text{Ann}^b(\text{Im } d) \cap \text{Ker } d \subseteq J_d,$$

with  $J_d$  as in Proposition 2.2. If  $\text{Im } d$  is  $k$ -finite, then  $\text{Ker } d$  is cofinite, and so is  $\text{Ann}^b(\text{Im } d)$ , because  $M$  is a  $\delta$ -module. Hence  $J_d$  is also cofinite.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $\phi: R \rightarrow S$  be a surjective homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras. Suppose that the natural map  $H_\delta^1(R; M) \rightarrow H^1(R; M)$  is an isomorphism for all  $\delta$ -bimodules  $M$  over  $R$ . Then  $H_\delta^1(S; M) \rightarrow H^1(S; M)$  is an isomorphism for all  $\delta$ -bimodules  $M$  over  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d: S \rightarrow M$  be a derivation into a  $\delta$ -bimodule  $M$  over  $S$ . By pullback along  $\phi$ ,  $M$  is a  $\delta$ -modules over  $R$ . Hence, by the assumption on  $R$ , the derivation  $d \circ \phi: R \rightarrow M$  is a  $\delta$ -derivation, i.e.,  $\text{Im } d \circ \phi$  is  $k$ -finite. But  $\text{Im } d = \text{Im } d \circ \phi$  as  $\phi$  is surjective, so  $d$  is a  $\delta$ -derivation.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.7.** *If  $R$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra then  $H_\delta^1(R, M) \rightarrow H^1(R, M)$  is an isomorphism for any  $\delta$ -bimodule  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be algebra generators for  $R$  and let  $d: R \rightarrow M$  be a derivation into a  $\delta$ -bimodule. We have to show that  $\text{Im } d$  is  $k$ -finite. The bi-submodule  $L$  of  $M$  generated by  $\text{Im } d$  is finitely generated. Indeed, it is generated by the elements  $d(x_1), \dots, d(x_n)$ . Being a sub-bimodule of a  $\delta$ -bimodule,  $L$  is therefore  $k$ -finite, which implies that  $\text{Im } d \subseteq L$  is  $k$ -finite.  $\square$

**Example 5.8.** Let  $R = k[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  and let  $M$  be the  $R$ -module  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the ideal generated by all indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ . It is easily seen that  $M$  is a  $\delta$ -module. The derivation  $d: R \rightarrow M$  defined by letting  $d(x_i)$  be the image of  $x_i$  under the projection  $\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is not a  $\delta$ -derivation because its image is not  $k$ -finite. This gives an example of a pair  $(R, M)$  where  $H_\delta^1(R, M) \rightarrow H^1(R, M)$  is not an isomorphism.

## 6. PRESERVATION OF FILTERED COLIMITS

**Definition 6.1.** For any  $k$ -algebra  $R$ , let  $Q_R^k$  denote the opposite category to the category of  $k$ -finite algebra quotients of  $R$ . The objects are surjective homomorphisms of  $k$ -algebras  $R \rightarrow S$  and a morphism from  $R \rightarrow S$  to  $R \rightarrow S'$  is a homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras  $S' \rightarrow S$  such that the diagram below commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & S' \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ R & & S \\ & \searrow & \end{array}$$

By taking kernels,  $Q_R^k$  is isomorphic to the set  $\mathcal{I}_R^k$  of cofinite two-sided ideals in  $R$  partially ordered by reverse inclusion. Sums and intersections of cofinite ideals remain cofinite. In particular the category  $Q_R^k$  is both filtered and cofiltered.

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $N$  be a  $k$ -module and let  $n \geq 1$ . The natural map*

$$\varinjlim_I \mathrm{Hom}_k((R/I)^{\otimes n}, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R^{\otimes n}, N)$$

*is an isomorphism, where the colimit is over the filtered system of cofinite two-sided ideals in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* This is merely a reformulation of Proposition 2.4. Namely, a map  $f: R^{\otimes n} \rightarrow N$  is a  $\delta$ -map if and only if it factors as  $R^{\otimes n} \rightarrow S^{\otimes n} \rightarrow N$ , for some  $k$ -finite quotient algebra  $S$  of  $R$ .  $\square$

Let  $M$  be a  $\delta$ -bimodule over  $R$ . For every inclusion of cofinite two-sided ideals  $I \subseteq J$  in  $R$ , we have a map  $C_\delta^*(R/J, M^J) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(R/I, M^I)$  of cosimplicial  $k$ -modules obtained as the composite  $C_\delta^*(R/J, M^J) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(R/I, M^J) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(R/I, M^I)$  of the maps induced by the homomorphism  $R/I \rightarrow R/J$  and the inclusion  $M^J \subseteq M^I$  of  $\delta$ -modules over  $R/I$ . This defines a functor  $I \mapsto C_\delta^*(R/I, M^I)$  from the filtered system of cofinite two-sided ideals of  $R$  to cosimplicial  $k$ -modules. By the same token, we have compatible maps  $C_\delta^*(R/I, M^I) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(R, M)$  and hence an induced map

$$\varinjlim_I C_\delta^*(R/I, M^I) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(R, M).$$

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\delta$ -bimodule. The canonical map*

$$\varinjlim_I C_\delta^*(R/I, M^I) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(R, M)$$

*is an isomorphism. The colimit is over the filtered system of cofinite two-sided ideals in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* In degree  $n$ , the map is the natural one

$$\varinjlim_I \mathrm{Hom}_k((R/I)^{\otimes n}, M^I) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R^{\otimes n}, M).$$

We wish to show that it is an isomorphism.

As in Section 3, the  $\delta$ -bimodule  $M$  is the filtered union  $\cup_J M^J$ . If  $I \subseteq R$  is cofinite, then  $(R/I)^{\otimes n}$  is  $k$ -finite, so  $\mathrm{Hom}_k((R/I)^{\otimes n}, -)$  commutes with filtered colimits. Therefore we have a chain of natural isomorphisms, the first one coming from Proposition 6.2

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R^{\otimes n}, M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varinjlim_I \mathrm{Hom}_k((R/I)^{\otimes n}, \cup_J M^J) \xleftarrow{\cong} \varinjlim_I \varinjlim_J \mathrm{Hom}_k((R/I)^{\otimes n}, M^J)$$

The colimits are indexed by the same category. For any category  $\mathcal{I}$  the diagonal functor  $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I}$  is cofinal, and thus induces isomorphisms on colimits. Therefore, we can continue our chain of isomorphisms

$$\varinjlim_I \varinjlim_J \mathrm{Hom}_k((R/I)^{\otimes n}, M^J) \xleftarrow{\cong} \varinjlim_I \mathrm{Hom}_k((R/I)^{\otimes n}, M^I).$$

Since all maps in the chain are the natural ones, the composite isomorphism is the natural map

$$\varinjlim_I \mathrm{Hom}_k((R/I)^{\otimes n}, M^I) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R^{\otimes n}, M).$$

□

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\delta$ -bimodule over  $R$ . For any  $n \geq 0$  the canonical map*

$$\varinjlim_I \mathrm{H}^n(R/I, M^I) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_\delta^n(R, M)$$

*is an isomorphism. The colimit is indexed by the filtered system of cofinite two-sided ideals in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* We have established an isomorphism of cochain complexes

$$\varinjlim_I C^*(R/I, M^I) \xrightarrow{\cong} C_\delta^*(R, M).$$

The claim follows from the fact that cohomology commutes with filtered colimits. □

Unlike the ordinary Hom-functor,  $\mathrm{Hom}_\delta$  preserves filtered colimits of  $k$ -modules.

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a filtered system of  $k$ -modules. Then the canonical  $k$ -linear map*

$$\varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R, N_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R, \varinjlim_i N_i)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Observe that since  $k$  is noetherian, any finitely generated  $k$ -module  $S$  is small in the sense that the canonical map

$$\varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_k(S, N_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_k(S, \varinjlim_i N_i)$$

is an isomorphism. Then, using Proposition 6.2 one only needs that colimits commute with colimits

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R, N_i) &= \varinjlim_i \varinjlim_S \mathrm{Hom}_k(S, N_i) \\ &= \varinjlim_S \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_k(S, N_i) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_S \mathrm{Hom}_k(S, \varinjlim_i N_i) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R, \varinjlim_i N_i) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 6.6.** *Let  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a filtered system of  $\delta$ -bimodules over  $R$ . Then the canonical map*

$$\varinjlim \mathrm{H}_\delta^*(R, M_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_\delta^*(R, \varinjlim M_i)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* From the proposition it follows that the canonical map  $\varinjlim C_\delta^*(R, M_i) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(R, \varinjlim M_i)$  is an isomorphism of cosimplicial  $k$ -modules. Since  $I$  is filtered, the functor  $\varinjlim_i$  is exact, and from this it follows that

$$\varinjlim H_\delta^*(R, M_i) = \varinjlim H^*(C_\delta^*(R, M_i)) \cong H^*(\varinjlim C_\delta^*(R, M_i)) \cong H_\delta^*(R, \varinjlim M_i)$$

□

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be  $k$ -algebras. There is an isomorphism of  $k$ -modules*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R \otimes S, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R, \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(S, M))$$

*natural in  $k$ -modules  $M$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 2.3 that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R \otimes S, M) = \varinjlim_I \varinjlim_J \mathrm{Hom}_k(R/I \otimes S/J, M),$$

where the colimits are over cofinite ideals  $I$  and  $J$  in  $R$  and  $S$  respectively. Since  $R/I$  is  $k$ -finite the functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_k(R/I, -)$  commutes with filtered colimits, so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim_I \varinjlim_J \mathrm{Hom}_k(R/I \otimes S/J, M) &\cong \varinjlim_I \varinjlim_J \mathrm{Hom}_k(R/I, \mathrm{Hom}_k(S/J, M)) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_I \mathrm{Hom}_k(R/I, \varinjlim_J \mathrm{Hom}_k(S/J, M)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R, \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(S, M)) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 6.8.** A  $k$ -algebra  $R$  is called *nice* if there is a resolution of  $R$  over  $R^e = R \otimes R^{\mathrm{op}}$  by finitely presented relatively free  $R^e$ -modules.

For example, a noetherian  $k$ -algebra is nice.

**Proposition 6.9.** *Suppose that  $R$  is nice. Then for every filtered system  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $R$ -bimodules the canonical map*

$$\varinjlim H^*(R, M_i) \rightarrow H^*(R, \varinjlim M_i)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* If we compute  $H^*(R, -) = \mathrm{Ext}_{R^e/k}^*(R, -)$  by using a resolution of  $R$  by finitely presented relatively free  $R^e$ -modules, then the claim follows from the facts that the functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_{R^e}(P, -)$  commutes with filtered colimits if  $P$  is a finitely presented  $R^e$ -module and that homology commutes with filtered colimits. □

## 7. $\delta$ -COHOMOLOGY AS A DERIVED FUNCTOR

It is useful to know when short exact sequences of coefficient modules give rise to long exact sequences in cohomology. For ordinary Hochschild cohomology, this happens when  $R$  is projective as a  $k$ -module. The corresponding notion for  $\delta$ -cohomology is that of a  $\delta$ -projective algebra.

**Definition 7.1.** A  $k$ -algebra  $R$  is called  *$\delta$ -projective* if for any surjective map of  $k$ -modules  $f: M \rightarrow N$ , the induced map  $f_*: \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\delta(R, N)$  is surjective.

**Proposition 7.2.** *If  $R$  and  $S$  are  $\delta$ -projective then so is  $R \otimes S$  and  $R^{\mathrm{op}}$ . In particular, if  $R$  is  $\delta$ -projective, then so is  $R^e$  and  $R^{\otimes n}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*



*Proof.* That  $R$  is  $\delta$ -projective means that the functor  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R, -)$  is exact. Proposition 6.7 identifies  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R \otimes S, -)$  with the composite  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R, \text{Hom}_\delta(S, -))$ .

There is a natural isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R^{op}, M) \cong \text{Hom}_\delta(R, M)$ , because if  $I \subseteq R$  is a cofinite two-sided ideal then so is  $I^{op} \subseteq R^{op}$  and  $R/I \cong R^{op}/I^{op}$  as  $k$ -modules.  $\square$

**Definition 7.3.** A  $k$ -algebra  $R$  is called *strongly  $\delta$ -projective* if every surjection of  $k$ -algebras  $R \rightarrow S$ , where  $S$  is  $k$ -finite, factors into surjective homomorphisms of  $k$ -algebras  $R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow S$  where  $Q$  is  $k$ -finite and projective as a  $k$ -module.

Clearly, strongly  $\delta$ -projective implies  $\delta$ -projective. If  $R$  is strongly  $\delta$ -projective, then so is  $R^{\otimes n}$ . Indeed, any surjection  $R^{\otimes n} \rightarrow S$  where  $S$  is  $k$ -finite factors through  $Q^{\otimes n}$  for some  $k$ -finite projective quotient algebra  $Q$  of  $R$ , and then  $Q^{\otimes n}$  is also  $k$ -finite and projective.

**Example 7.4.** If  $p(x) \in k[x]$  is a monic polynomial, then  $k[x]/(p(x))$  is a finitely generated free  $k$ -module. Also, an ideal  $I \subseteq k[x]$  is cofinite if and only if it contains a monic polynomial. Indeed, the sequence of  $k$ -submodules  $\langle 1 \rangle_k \subseteq \langle 1, \alpha \rangle_k \subseteq \langle 1, \alpha, \alpha^2 \rangle_k \subseteq \dots \subseteq k[x]/I$ , where  $\alpha = x + I$ , must stabilize as  $k$  is noetherian. Therefore,  $\alpha^n = a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\alpha + a_0$  for some  $a_i \in k$ , so that  $I$  contains the polynomial  $x^n - a_{n-1}x^{n-1} - \dots - a_0$ .

The polynomial algebra  $k[x]$  is strongly  $\delta$ -projective, because an ideal  $I \subseteq k[x]$  is cofinite if and only if it contains a monic polynomial. The quotient of  $k[x]$  by such a polynomial is a finitely generated free  $k$ -module.

For  $\delta$ -projective algebras  $R$ , we will interpret  $H_\delta^n(R, -)$  as the  $n^{\text{th}}$  right derived functor  $R^n H^0(R, -)$  on the category  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^{R^e}$  of  $\delta$ -bimodules over  $R$ .

**Proposition 7.5.** *Suppose  $R$  is  $\delta$ -projective. Then the functors  $H_\delta^n(R, -)$  form a universal cohomological  $\delta$ -functor from  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^{R^e}$  to  $\mathcal{A}^k$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 7.2 each  $R^{\otimes n}$  is  $\delta$ -projective. If we have a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  of  $\delta$ -bimodules over  $R$ , we therefore get a short exact sequence of cosimplicial  $k$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow C_\delta^*(R; M') \rightarrow C_\delta^*(R; M) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(R; M'') \rightarrow 0$$

This in turn induces the required long exact sequence in cohomology in the usual way

$$\dots \rightarrow H_\delta^{n-1}(R; M'') \rightarrow H_\delta^n(R; M') \rightarrow H_\delta^n(R; M) \rightarrow H_\delta^n(R; M'') \rightarrow \dots$$

To prove universality, we show that  $H_\delta^n(R, -)$  is effaceable for every  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $M$  be a  $\delta$ -bimodule. Embed  $M$  in an injective  $R$ -bimodule  $J$ . Then  $M$  is a submodule of  $J_\delta$ . By the bimodule version of Proposition 3.8 we have  $(J_\delta)^I = J^I$ , if  $I$  is a cofinite two-sided ideal in  $R$ . Since  $(-)^I: \mathcal{A}^{R^e} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(R/I)^e}$  is right adjoint to the exact forgetful functor, it takes injectives to injectives. Hence,  $(J_\delta)^I = J^I$  is an injective  $R/I$ -bimodule for every cofinite two-sided ideal  $I \subseteq R$ . Therefore,  $H^n(R/I, (J_\delta)^I) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , so by Corollary 6.4

$$H_\delta^n(R, J_\delta) \cong \varinjlim_I H^n(R/I, (J_\delta)^I) = 0$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.6.** Since  $\text{Hom}_\delta(R^{\otimes n}, -)$  is an additive functor it preserves  $k$ -split short exact sequences. Therefore, one obtains long exact sequences in  $\delta$ -cohomology from  $k$ -split short exact sequences of  $\delta$ -bimodules without any assumption on  $R$ .

Since  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^{R^e}$  has enough injectives by Corollary 3.6, we conclude that  $H_\delta^n(R, -)$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  right derived functor of the restriction of the functor  $H^0: \mathcal{A}^R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^k$ ,  $M \mapsto \{x \in M \mid rx = xr \text{ for all } r \in R\}$ , to the category  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^R$ . We state this as a proposition.

**Proposition 7.7.** *Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra. Consider the following diagram of additive functors between abelian categories.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_\delta^{R^e} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{R^e}} & \mathcal{A}^{R^e} \\ & \searrow^{H^0 \iota_{R^e}} & \swarrow_{H^0} \\ & \mathcal{A}^k & \end{array}$$

The right derived functors of  $H^0$  are the Hochschild cohomology functors,

$$R^n(H^0)(M) = H^n(R; M).$$

If  $R$  is  $\delta$ -projective, then the right derived functors of the restriction  $H^0 \iota_{R^e}$  of  $H^0$  to  $\mathcal{A}_\delta^{R^e}$  are given by

$$R^n(H^0 \iota_{R^e})(M) = H_\delta^n(R; M).$$

In other words,  $R^*(H^0 \iota_{R^e})$  may be computed as the cohomology of the cochain complex  $C_\delta^*(R; M)$  of  $\delta$ -cochains. Furthermore, the natural transformation  $R(H^0 \iota_{R^e}) \rightarrow R(H^0)R(\iota_{R^e})$  of triangulated functors  $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}_\delta^{R^e}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}^k)$  induces for each  $\delta$ -bimodule  $M$  a map in cohomology

$$H_\delta^*(R; M) \rightarrow H^*(R; M),$$

which may be identified with the map induced in cohomology by the inclusion of cochain complexes  $C_\delta^*(R; M) \rightarrow C^*(R; M)$ .

**Definition 7.8.** A  $k$ -algebra  $R$  is called *stable* if the inclusion functor  $\iota_R: \mathcal{A}_\delta^R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^R$  preserves injective objects.

Corollary 4.9 says that almost finite noetherian  $k$ -algebras are stable. We will see later that any commutative noetherian  $k$ -algebra is stable.

**Corollary 7.9.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a  $\delta$ -projective  $k$ -algebra whose enveloping algebra  $R^e$  is stable. Then the natural map  $H_\delta^n(R; M) \rightarrow H^n(R; M)$  is an isomorphism for all  $\delta$ -bimodules  $M$  over  $R$ .*

## 8. CHANGE OF GROUND RING

Let  $\phi: k \rightarrow l$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings. Any  $l$ -module is a  $k$ -module by pullback along  $\phi$ . In particular  $l$  is a  $k$ -module. If  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra, then  $R_l$  denotes the  $l$ -algebra  $l \otimes_k R$ . There is a natural homomorphism of  $k$ -algebras  $j = \phi \otimes 1: R = k \otimes_k R \rightarrow R_l$ . If  $M$  is an  $R$ -bimodule then  $M_l = l \otimes_k M$  is an  $R_l$ -bimodule. There is a functor  $Q_R^k \rightarrow Q_{R_l}^l$  acting on objects in the obvious way: a surjection  $R \rightarrow S$  is sent to the surjection  $R_l \rightarrow S_l$ . It is a classical result that

$$H_k^*(R, M) \cong H_l^*(R_l, M)$$

for any  $R_l$ -bimodule  $M$ . A natural question is what happens for  $\delta$ -cohomology.

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let  $k \rightarrow l$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings. There is an inclusion of  $l$ -modules*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\delta,k}(R, N) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_{\delta,l}(R_l, N)$$

*natural in  $l$ -modules  $N$ . The following are equivalent:*

- $\mathrm{Hom}_{\delta,k}(R, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\delta,l}(R_l, N)$  for all  $l$ -modules  $N$ .
- Every  $l$ -cofinite ideal of  $R_l$  pulls back to a  $k$ -cofinite ideal of  $R$  along the natural map  $R \rightarrow R_l$ .
- The functor  $Q_R^k \rightarrow Q_{R_l}^l$  is cofinal.

*Proof.* The inclusion is defined by sending a  $\delta_k$ -map  $f: R \rightarrow N$  to the  $l$ -linear map  $f_l: R_l \rightarrow N$  given by  $f_l(\lambda \otimes r) = \lambda f(r)$ . It is clear that  $f_l$  is a  $\delta_l$ -map because a factorization  $R \rightarrow S \rightarrow N$  of  $f$  yields a factorization  $R_l \rightarrow S_l \rightarrow N$  of  $f_l$ , and  $S$   $k$ -finite implies  $S_l$   $l$ -finite. We always have that  $f_l \circ j = f$ , so it is clear  $f \mapsto f_l$  is injective. We have equality if and only if  $g \circ j$  is a  $\delta_k$ -map whenever  $g: R_l \rightarrow N$  is a  $\delta_l$ -map. Now, in the case of equality, let  $I \subseteq R_l$  be an  $l$ -cofinite ideal. Then the projection  $f: R_l \rightarrow R_l/I$  is a  $\delta_l$ -map, so by assumption  $g = f \circ j$  is a  $\delta_k$ -map. Hence  $j^{-1}(I) = \mathrm{Ker} g$  is  $k$ -cofinite in  $R$ . Conversely, assume that  $l$ -cofinite ideals of  $R_l$  pull back to  $k$ -cofinite ideals of  $R$ . Let  $f: R_l \rightarrow N$  be a  $\delta_l$ -map. We must show that  $f \circ j$  is a  $\delta_k$ -map, i.e., we need to find a  $k$ -cofinite ideal of  $R$  on which  $f \circ j$  vanishes. But the ideal  $j^{-1}(I)$ , which is cofinite by assumption, will do.

For the equivalence of the second and third statements, if we interpret  $Q_R^k$  as the set of  $k$ -cofinite ideals of  $R$  partially ordered by reverse inclusion, and similarly for  $Q_{R_l}^l$ , then the functor  $Q_R^k \rightarrow Q_{R_l}^l$  is given by mapping a  $k$ -cofinite ideal  $I \subseteq R$  to the *extension* of  $I$ , i.e., the ideal  $I_l$  generated by  $j(I)$ . In this setup, cofinality is equivalent to the statement that every  $l$ -cofinite ideal  $J$  in  $R_l$  contains the extension of some  $k$ -cofinite ideal  $I \subseteq R$ . But if  $j^{-1}(J)$  is  $k$ -cofinite for every such  $J$ , then  $J$  contains the extended ideal  $(j^{-1}(J))_l$ . Conversely, if every  $l$ -cofinite  $J$  contains the extension of a  $k$ -cofinite  $I$ , then  $j^{-1}(J) \supseteq j^{-1}(I_l) \supseteq I$ , implying that  $j^{-1}(J)$  is  $k$ -cofinite.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.2.** *Suppose that the equivalent conditions of Proposition 8.1 are satisfied and that in addition  $l$  is flat as a  $k$ -module. Then for any  $k$ -module  $N$ , there is an isomorphism of  $l$ -modules*

$$l \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_{\delta,k}(R, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\delta,l}(R_l, N_l).$$

*Proof.* If  $S$  is  $k$ -finite, then it is finitely presented as a  $k$ -module, and hence the map  $l \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_k(S, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_l(S_l, N_l)$  is an isomorphism as  $l$  is flat as a  $k$ -module. Since tensor products commute with filtered colimits, we have a sequence of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} l \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_{\delta,k}(R, N) &\cong l \otimes_k \varinjlim_S \mathrm{Hom}_k(S, N) \cong \varinjlim_S l \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_k(S, N) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_S \mathrm{Hom}_l(S_l, N_l) \cong \varinjlim_{S'} \mathrm{Hom}_l(S', N_l) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\delta,l}(R_l, N_l). \end{aligned}$$

Here the colimits are over  $S \in Q_R^k$  and  $S' \in Q_{R_l}^l$ . The second to last isomorphism changing the index category comes from the fact that the functor  $Q_R^k \rightarrow Q_{R_l}^l$  is cofinal, by Proposition 8.1, and hence induces an isomorphism on colimits.  $\square$

**Example 8.3.** • The conditions in Proposition 8.1 are fulfilled when  $l$  is  $k$ -finite.

- The conditions are not fulfilled for  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $k \rightarrow l$  being the inclusion of  $\mathbb{Z}$  into  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For instance, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -cofinite ideal of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  generated by  $x - 1/2$  pulls back to the ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  generated by  $2x - 1$ , but this ideal is not  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cofinite.

**Proposition 8.4.** *Let  $k \rightarrow l$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings satisfying the conditions of Proposition 8.1. Then for any  $n \geq 0$  there is an isomorphism of  $l$ -modules  $H_{\delta,k}^n(R, M) \rightarrow H_{\delta,l}^n(R_l, M)$  natural in  $\delta$ -bimodules  $M$  over  $R_l$  that fit in a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\delta,k}^n(R, M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{\delta,l}^n(R_l, M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_k^n(R, M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_l^n(R_l, M) \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Note that  $(R_l)^{\otimes n} \cong (R^{\otimes n})_l$ . Therefore, we get isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\delta,k}(R^{\otimes n}, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\delta,l}((R_l)^{\otimes n}, M)$$

for all  $n$  by Proposition 8.1, and it is clear that these isomorphisms are compatible with the coface and codegeneracy maps, which means that we have an isomorphism of cosimplicial  $l$ -modules  $C_{\delta,k}^*(R, M) \rightarrow C_{\delta,l}^*(R_l, M)$ . This isomorphism sits inside a commutative diagram of cosimplicial  $l$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{\delta,k}^*(R, M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & C_{\delta,l}^*(R_l, M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_k^*(R, M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & C_l(R_l, M) \end{array}$$

Now apply cohomology. □

## 9. $\delta$ -COHOMOLOGY OF POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS

We will show that the Hochschild cohomology  $H^*(k[x], M)$  of the polynomial algebra  $k[x]$ , with coefficients in any  $\delta$ -bimodule  $M$ , may be computed using  $\delta$ -cochains, i.e., we will show that the map  $H_\delta^*(k[x], M) \rightarrow H^*(k[x], M)$  is an isomorphism. This will be done by reduction to the case when  $M = k$ , and in this case by an explicit calculation.

The next proposition follows immediately from Proposition 2.4 and the description of cofinite ideals in  $k[x]$ .

**Proposition 9.1.** *Let  $N$  be any  $k$ -module. There is an isomorphism of  $k$ -modules*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(k[x_1, \dots, x_n], N) \cong N[z_1, \dots, z_n]$$

given by mapping a  $k$ -linear map  $f: k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow N$  to the series

$$S_f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} f(x^\alpha) z^\alpha.$$

Here  $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$  and  $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ . Furthermore,  $f$  is a  $\delta$ -map if and only if there is a polynomial  $q(z) \in k[z]$  with  $q(0) = 1$  such that  $q(z_1) \dots q(z_n) S_f \in N[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ .

A series of the form  $S_f$  for some  $\delta$ -map  $f$  will be called a  $\delta$ -series.

We will now study the cosimplicial  $k$ -module  $C_\delta^*(k[x], k)$ , where  $k$  is considered a  $k[x]$ -bimodule via  $x \cdot 1 = c_l$  and  $1 \cdot x = c_r$  for some  $c_l, c_r \in k$ . If one traces the coface and codegeneracy maps through the isomorphism of Proposition 9.1 then one gets the following description of  $C^*(k[x], k)$ :

For compactness of notation, write  $P_{i_1 \dots i_n} = P(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_n})$  if  $P$  is a formal power series in  $n$  indeterminates. The component in degree  $n$  is the  $k$ -module  $C^n(k[x], k) = k[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$ , and the coface and codegeneracy maps

$$d^i: C^{n-1}(k[x], k) \rightarrow C^n(k[x], k), \quad s^i: C^{n+1}(k[x], k) \rightarrow C^n(k[x], k)$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq n$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^0(S)_{12\dots n} &= \frac{S_{23\dots n}}{1 - c_l z_1} \\ d^i(S)_{12\dots n} &= \frac{z_i S_{12\dots \widehat{i+1} \dots n} - z_{i+1} S_{12\dots \widehat{i} \dots n}}{z_i - z_{i+1}} \\ d^n(S)_{12\dots n} &= \frac{S_{12\dots(n-1)}}{1 - c_r z_n} \\ s^i(T)_{12\dots n} &= T(z_1, \dots, z_i, 0, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Pi(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be the polynomial

$$(1 - c_l z_1)(z_1 - z_2)(z_2 - z_3) \dots (z_{n-1} - z_n)(1 - c_r z_n).$$

**Proposition 9.2.** *Let  $S \in k[[z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}]]$ . Then*

$$(1) \quad \partial(S)_{12\dots n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} z_i (\Pi S)_{12\dots \widehat{i} \dots n}}{\Pi_{12\dots n}}$$

*Proof.* Elementary calculation.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.3.** *The natural map  $H_\delta^n(k[x], k) \rightarrow H^n(k[x], k)$  is an isomorphism for all  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.7 the map  $H_\delta^i(k[x], k) \rightarrow H^i(k[x], k)$  is an isomorphism for  $i = 0, 1$ . For notational convenience, write  $A = C^*(k[x], k)$  and  $B = C_\delta^*(k[x], k)$ .

Clearly,  $\text{Ker}(s^i: A^n \rightarrow A^{n-1})$  is the ideal generated by  $z_{i+1}$ , for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Therefore the normalized cochain complex  $NA$  of  $A$  is in degree  $n$  the submodule of series  $S$  of the form  $S = z_1 \dots z_n P$  for some series  $P$ . The  $n$ -cochains  $S$  of  $NB$  have the same description but with  $P$  a  $\delta$ -series.

Let  $S$  be an  $(n-1)$ -cocycle of  $NA$ , where  $n \geq 3$ , say  $S = z_1 \dots z_{n-1} P$ . Then from (1) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = (\Pi \partial S)_{12\dots n} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} z_i (\Pi S)_{12\dots \widehat{i} \dots n} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} z_1 \dots z_n (\Pi P)_{12\dots \widehat{i} \dots n}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} (\Pi P)_{12\dots \widehat{i} \dots n} = 0.$$

Setting  $z_n = 0$  in this equality of formal power series, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} (\Pi P)(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, z_{n-1}, 0) + (-1)^{n-1} (\Pi P)(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) = 0,$$

and multiplying this with  $z_1 \dots z_{n-1}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n (\Pi S)_{12\dots(n-1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} z_1 \dots z_{n-1} (\Pi P)(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, z_{n-1}, 0) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} z_i Q_{12\dots\hat{i}\dots(n-1)} \\ &= (\Pi \partial Q)_{12\dots(n-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q(z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}) = z_1 \dots z_{n-2} (\Pi P)(z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}, 0)$ . Hence  $S = \partial((-1)^n Q)$  is a coboundary. We have now shown by hand that  $H^n(NA) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ . This is of course no surprise and it could be shown in a few lines. The point however is the explicit description of the cochain  $(-1)^n Q$  whose coboundary is the given cocycle  $S$ . The apparent but crucial observation is the following: If  $S \in NB^{n-1}$ , then

$$P(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) = \frac{p(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})}{q(z_1) \dots q(z_{n-1})},$$

for polynomials  $p, q$  with coefficients in  $k$  and  $q(0) = 1$ , and it follows that

$$Q(z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}) = z_1 \dots z_{n-2} \frac{(\Pi p)(z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}, 0)}{q(z_1) \dots q(z_{n-2})},$$

so that  $Q \in NB^{n-1}$ . Therefore, we see that  $H^n(NB) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ . We conclude that the inclusion  $B \rightarrow A$  induces an isomorphism in cohomology.  $\square$

**Remark 9.4.** Actually, the graded subspace  $C = \{k[z_1, \dots, z_n]\}_{n \geq 0}$  of  $A$  is preserved by the coface and codegeneracy maps, and one sees that if  $S$  is a polynomial  $(n-1)$ -cocycle then the cochain  $(-1)^n Q$  whose coboundary is  $S$  is also a polynomial. So the inclusion  $C \rightarrow A$  is a weak equivalence by the same argument.

**Proposition 9.5.** *Let  $M$  be any  $\delta$ -bimodule over  $k[x]$ . The natural map*

$$H_\delta^n(k[x], M) \rightarrow H^n(k[x], M)$$

*is an isomorphism for all  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We will use the machinery developed so far to reduce to the case when  $M = k$ .

The  $\delta$ -module  $M$  is a filtered colimit,  $\varinjlim_i M_i$ , of  $k$ -finite  $k[x]$ -bimodules  $M_i$ . For each  $n \geq 0$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim_i H_\delta^n(k[x], M_i) & \longrightarrow & H_\delta^n(k[x], M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim_i H^n(k[x], M_i) & \longrightarrow & H^n(k[x], M) \end{array}$$

The top horizontal map is an isomorphism by Corollary 6.6 and since  $k[x]$  is nice, the bottom map is also an isomorphism. Therefore the right map is an isomorphism if and only if the left one is. But this is induced by the natural maps  $H_\delta^n(k[x], M_i) \rightarrow H^n(k[x], M_i)$ . Thus, we have reduced to the case when  $M$  is a  $k$ -finite  $R$ -bimodule.

If  $M$  is  $k$ -finite, then  $M$  is certainly finitely generated as a  $k[x]$ -bimodule. Let  $m(M)$  denote the minimal number of bimodule generators for  $M$ . Suppose  $m(M) = r$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be bimodule generators for  $M$ . Let  $N$  be the bisubmodule of  $M$  generated by  $x_r$ . Then we have a short exact sequence of  $k[x]$ -bimodules

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$$

where  $N$  and  $M/N$  are  $k$ -finite,  $m(N) = 1$ , and  $m(M/N) \leq r - 1$ , since  $M/N$  can be generated by the images of  $x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}$  in  $M/N$ .

Since  $k[x]$  is both projective and strongly  $\delta$ -projective, any short exact sequences of  $k[x]$ -bimodules  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  gives rise to a ladder with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & H_{\delta}^{n-1}(k[x], M'') & \rightarrow & H_{\delta}^n(k[x], M') & \rightarrow & H_{\delta}^n(k[x], M) & \rightarrow & H_{\delta}^n(k[x], M'') & \rightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & H^{n-1}(k[x], M'') & \rightarrow & H^n(k[x], M') & \rightarrow & H^n(k[x], M) & \rightarrow & H^n(k[x], M'') & \rightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

It follows from the 5-lemma that if the maps from  $\delta$ -cohomology to cohomology with coefficients in  $M'$  and  $M''$  are isomorphisms, then so are the maps  $H_{\delta}^n(k[x], M) \rightarrow H^n(k[x], M)$ .

Therefore, by induction on  $m(M)$ , we may reduce to the case  $m(M) = 1$ , i.e., to the case of  $k$ -finite cyclic  $k[x]$ -bimodules. A bimodule over  $k[x]$  is the same thing as a left module over  $k[x] \otimes k[x]^{\text{op}} \cong k[x, y]$ , so a  $k$ -finite cyclic  $k[x]$ -bimodule is of the form  $M = k[x, y]/I$  for some cofinite ideal  $I \subseteq k[x, y]$ , where  $x$  acts as multiplication by  $x$  from the left and multiplication by  $y$  from the right. Now for the twist. Not only is  $k[x, y]/I$  a  $k[x]$ -bimodule, but it is also a commutative noetherian  $k$ -algebra, which we may denote by  $l$ . Now,  $l$  is an  $l[x]$ -bimodule by letting  $x$  act by multiplication by  $\alpha$  to the left and by multiplication by  $\beta$  to the right, where  $\alpha = x + I \in l$  and  $\beta = y + I \in l$ . Moreover, the  $l[x]$ -bimodule  $l$  is pulled back to the  $k[x]$ -bimodule  $k[x, y]/I$  along the homomorphism  $k[x] \rightarrow l[x]$ . Since  $l$  is  $k$ -finite, we have by Proposition 8.1 that the ring extension  $k \rightarrow l$  induces an isomorphism

$$H_{\delta, k}^n(k[x], M) \cong H_{\delta, l}^n(l[x], l)$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . Also, the base change  $k \rightarrow l$  induces an isomorphism in ordinary cohomology  $H_k^n(k[x], M) \rightarrow H_l^n(l[x], l)$  and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\delta, k}^n(k[x], M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{\delta, l}^n(l[x], l) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_k^n(k[x], M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_l^n(l[x], l) \end{array}$$

The right vertical map is an isomorphism by Proposition 9.3, so it follows that the left map is an isomorphism too.  $\square$

We will now prove a similar result for  $k[x, x^{-1}]$ . Since  $k[x, x^{-1}]$  is the group algebra of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , this can be interpreted as saying that the cohomology of the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}$  may be computed using  $\delta$ -cochains.

**Proposition 9.6.** *Let  $M$  be any  $\delta$ -bimodule over  $k[x, x^{-1}]$ . The natural map  $H_{\delta}^n(k[x, x^{-1}], M) \rightarrow H^n(k[x, x^{-1}], M)$  is an isomorphism for all  $n$ .*

*Proof.* The  $\delta$ -bimodule  $M$  pulls back to a  $\delta$ -bimodule over  $k[x]$  via the canonical homomorphism  $k[x] \rightarrow k[x, x^{-1}]$ . It is classical, or in any case not hard to show, that this homomorphism induces an isomorphism  $H^n(k[x, x^{-1}], M) \rightarrow H^n(k[x], M)$  for all  $n$ . According to Proposition 9.5 the natural map  $H_\delta^n(k[x], M) \rightarrow H^n(k[x], M)$  is an isomorphism.

The map  $C_\delta^*(k[x, x^{-1}], M) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(k[x], M)$  is an isomorphism. Indeed, an ideal  $I \subseteq k[x, x^{-1}]$  is cofinite if and only if it contains a ‘bimonic’ polynomial, that is, a polynomial of the form  $x^{r+1} + c_r x^r + \dots + c_1 x + 1$ . Therefore,  $f: k[x, x^{-1}]^{\otimes n} \rightarrow M$  is a  $\delta$ -map if and only if one can find a bimonic polynomial  $p(x)$  such that  $f(q_1(x), \dots, q_n(x)) = 0$  whenever some  $q_i(x)$  can be written as  $q_i(x) = p(x)s(x)$  for some  $s(x) \in k[x, x^{-1}]$ . From this it follows that  $f$  is determined by its values on  $x^{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x^{a_n}$  for  $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ . In particular,  $f$  is determined by its restriction to  $k[x]^{\otimes n}$ , and for similar reasons it is clear that any  $\delta$ -map  $f: k[x]^{\otimes n} \rightarrow M$  extends to  $k[x, x^{-1}]^{\otimes n}$ . This means that the map  $C_\delta^*(k[x, x^{-1}], M) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(k[x], M)$  is bijective.

The claim now follows by passing to cohomology in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\delta^*(k[x, x^{-1}], M) & \longrightarrow & C_\delta^*(k[x], M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^*(k[x, x^{-1}], M) & \longrightarrow & C^*(k[x], M) \end{array}$$

□

## 10. THE COFINITE TOPOLOGY

In this section we will rely on results proved in [3]. See also [5].

Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra. The set  $\mathcal{I}_R^k$  of cofinite ideals in  $R$  forms a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 for a linear topology on  $R$ , which we will call the *cofinite topology*. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is topologized by letting the open neighborhoods of 0 be the submodules  $L \subseteq M$  such that  $M/L$  is a  $\delta$ -module. A module is discrete in this topology if and only if it is a  $\delta$ -module. Proposition 3.3 implies that the cofinite topology is a Gabriel topology (cf. [5]) provided  $R$  is noetherian. Proposition 1.3 implies that the cofinite topology is *bounded*, i.e., that it has a basis consisting of two-sided ideals.

Recall that a  $k$ -algebra  $R$  is stable if the inclusion functor  $\iota_R: \mathcal{A}_\delta^R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^R$  preserves injective objects. As a consequence of the identification of  $\delta$ -modules as the discrete modules for a topology on  $R$ , we get a characterization of stable  $k$ -algebras as follows, cf. [3] Proposition V.9.

**Proposition 10.1.** *A  $k$ -algebra  $R$  is stable if and only if for every  $R$ -module  $M$ , the subspace topology on every submodule  $M' \subseteq M$  coincides with the cofinite topology on  $M'$ .*

Concretely, the last condition means that whenever we have inclusions of  $R$ -modules  $L' \subseteq M' \subseteq M$  such that  $M'/L'$  is a  $\delta$ -module there is a submodule  $L \subseteq M$  such that  $M/L$  is a  $\delta$ -module and  $L \cap M' = L'$ .

**Proposition 10.2.** *Commutative noetherian  $k$ -algebras are stable.*

*Proof.* If  $R$  is noetherian, then the cofinite topology is a bounded Gabriel topology. According to [3] Proposition V.10, any bounded Gabriel topology on a commutative noetherian ring is stable, so in particular  $R$  is stable for the cofinite topology. □



Since polynomial algebras are  $\delta$ -projective, the next corollary subsumes the results of the previous section.

**Corollary 10.3.** *If  $R$  is a  $\delta$ -projective commutative noetherian  $k$ -algebra, then the natural map  $H_\delta^n(R; M) \rightarrow H^n(R; M)$  is an isomorphism for all  $n \geq 0$  and all  $\delta$ -bimodules  $M$  over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* The enveloping algebra  $R^e$  of a commutative noetherian algebra  $R$  is still commutative and noetherian and hence stable. The claim now follows from Corollary 7.9.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press 1956
- [2] T. Ekedahl, *On minimal models in integral homotopy theory*, The Roos Festschrift, Homology Homotopy Appl. **4** (2002), 191–218
- [3] P. Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 323–448
- [4] S. Mac Lane *Homology*, Springer-Verlag 1975
- [5] B. Stenström, *Rings of quotients*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 217. Springer-Verlag 1975