On the use of Markov chains and Perron Frobenuis Theorem in Population Genetics

> Ola Hössjer Dept. of Mathematics Stockholm University

> > June 2015

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Motivation and Outline



- Conservation biology: Protect genetic diversity in order to
 - Prevent inbreeding
 - Keep species viable
 - Improve environmental adjustment of species
- Outline:
 - Populations with substructure
 - Formulas for long term rate of loss of genetic variants

Effective population size N_e

- Population of size N
- N_e: Size of ideal population with same rate of loss of genetic variants as studied population (smaller N_e → faster rate)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Short term protection rule: $N_e \ge 50$
- N_e/N varies between species

Genetic drift for size N population

- Discrete time $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$
- Genes $g = 1, \ldots, 2N$.
- Two variants white and black
- ν_{tg} nr. of offspring of g, time t.

Freq. of black, time t + 1, is $X_{t+1} = \frac{1}{2N} |\{g; g \text{ is black at } t + 1\}|$

$$= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{g;g \text{ black at time } t} \nu_{tg}.$$

• $\{X_t\}$ Markov chain, state space

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{X} & = & \{0, \frac{1}{2N}, \dots, \frac{2N-1}{2N}, 1\} \\ & = & \{0\} \cup \{1\} \cup \{\frac{1}{2N}, \dots, \frac{2N-1}{2N}\} \\ & = & \mathcal{X}_0 \cup \mathcal{X}_1 \cup \mathcal{X}_2. \end{array}$$

Absorbing states: \mathcal{X}_0 , \mathcal{X}_1 Transient states: \mathcal{X}_2



$$2N = 10,$$

$$X_{t} = 0.4,$$

$$X_{t+1} = 0.5,$$

$$\nu_{t2} = \nu_{t5} = \nu_{t6} = 0,$$

$$\nu_{t1} = \nu_{t3} = \nu_{t4} = \nu_{t9} = \nu_{t,10} = 1,$$

$$\nu_{t8} = 2,$$

$$\nu_{t7} = 3$$

,

Ideal population: Wright-Fisher (WF) model¹

Children pick parental genes independently;

$$\{\nu_{tg}\}_{g=1}^{2N} \sim \mathsf{Mult}\left(2N; \frac{1}{2N}, \dots, \frac{1}{2N}\right),$$

so that the transition kernel of $\{X_t\}$ is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{P} &=& (P(x,y))_{x,y\in\mathcal{X}}, \\ P(x,y) &=& P(X_{t+1}=y|X_t=x) = \binom{2N}{2Ny} x^{2Ny} (1-x)^{2N(1-y)}. \end{array}$$

Feller (1951) showed that the eigenvalues of \mathbf{P} are

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_j = \frac{(2N-1)(2N-2)\cdots(2N-j+2)}{(2N)^{j-2}}, \quad j = 3, \dots, 2N+1,$$

so that the largest non-unit eigenvalue is

$$\lambda = \lambda_3 = 1 - \frac{1}{2N}.\tag{1}$$

It gives the asymtotic rate of fixation of one variant;

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{P(X_t\in\mathcal{X}_2)}{\lambda^t}=C,\quad (0< C<\infty).$$

¹Fisher (1921), Wright (1931).

うせん 御を (曲を) (目を)

Cannings model

Cannings (1974) showed more generally that

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_j = E\left(\prod_{g=1}^{j-1} \nu_{tg}\right), \quad j = 3, \dots, 2N+1,$$

if $\{\nu_{tg}\}_{g=1}^{2N}$ are exchangeable, so that in particular,

$$\lambda = \lambda_3 = E(\nu_{t1}\nu_{t2}) = 1 + Cov(\nu_{t1}, \nu_{t2}) = 1 - p,$$

with coalescence probability

$$p = -\operatorname{Cov}(\nu_{t1}, \nu_{t2})$$

$$= \frac{E[\nu_{t1}(\nu_{t1}-1)]}{2N-1}$$

$$= 2N \cdot E\left[\binom{\nu_{t1}}{2}\right] / \binom{2N}{2}$$

$$= P(\text{two offspring have the same parent}).$$

Eigenvalue effective size² N_{eE} = size of a WF population with fixation rate λ :

$$\lambda = 1 - \frac{1}{2N_{eE}} \Longrightarrow N_{eE} = \frac{1}{2(1-\lambda)} = \frac{N - \frac{1}{2}}{E\left[\nu_{t1}(\nu_{t1} - 1)\right]}.$$

²Crow (1954), Ewens (1982).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 めんの

Gene diversities

Introduce (predicted) gene diversities at time t = 0, 1, 2, ...

 $\begin{array}{rcl} H_t &=& 2X_t(1-X_t),\\ &=& P(\text{two genes picked with repl. have diff. variants}|X_t)\\ h_t &=& E(H_t)\\ &=& P(\text{two genes picked with repl. have diff. variants}). \end{array}$

It can be shown that

$$h_{t+1} = \lambda h_t.$$

Hence gene diversities

$$h_t = \lambda^t h_0 = (1 - p)^t h_0,$$
 (2)

tend to zero at the same multiplicative rate as non-fixation probabilities $P(X_t \in \mathcal{X}_2)$.

But gene diversities and coalescence probabilities (*p*) are easier to analyze theoretically!!

Structured population

Divide into s subpopulations $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Let

 $2N_i$ = number of genes in subpop. i, $(\sum_i N_i = N)$

$$X_{ti}$$
 = fraction of black variants in subpop. *i* at time *t*,

$$u_{tkig} = \text{nr. of offspring of gene } g \text{ of subpop. } k \text{ at time } t \text{ that end up in subpop. } i \text{ at time } t + 1,$$

exchangeable for $g = 1, \dots, 2N_k$.

This gives dynamics

$$X_{t+1,i} = \frac{1}{2N_i} \sum_{k=1}^{s} \sum_{g:g \in \text{ subpop. } k \text{ at time } t, \atop g \text{ is black}} \nu_{tkig}.$$

Find, under suitable conditions,

$$N_{eE}=\frac{1}{2(1-\lambda)},$$

where λ is rate of fixation, so that for some 0 < C $<\infty$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{P(\text{non-fixation at time }t)}{\lambda^t}=C.$$



Structured population, contd. Let

$$\mathbf{X}_t = (X_{t1}, \ldots, X_{ts}).$$

If reproduction is time invariant, $\{X_t\}$ is Markov chain with state space

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{X} & = & \{0, \frac{1}{2N_1}, \dots, \frac{2N_1-1}{2N_1}, 1\} \times \dots \times \{0, \frac{1}{2N_s}, \dots, \frac{2N_s-1}{2N_s}, 1\} \\ & = & \mathcal{X}_0 \cup \mathcal{X}_1 \cup \mathcal{X}_2. \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{X}_0 & = & \{(0,\ldots,0)\}, \\ \mathcal{X}_1 & = & \{(1,\ldots,1)\}, \\ \mathcal{X}_2 & = & \mathcal{X} \setminus (\mathcal{X}_0 \cup \mathcal{X}_1), \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{P} &=& (P(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}))_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\mathcal{X}}, \\ P(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) &=& P(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}=\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}_t=\mathbf{x}), \\ \lambda &=& \text{3rd largest eigenvalue of } \mathbf{P}. \end{array}$$



Gene diversities for structured population

Introduce (predicted) gene diversities³ at time t = 0, 1, 2, ...

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_{tij} &=& X_{ti}(1-X_{tj}) + (1-X_{ti})X_{tj}, \\ &=& P(\text{two genes picked with repl. from } i \text{ and } j \text{ have diff. variants} | \mathbf{X}_t) \\ h_{tij} &=& E(H_{tij}) \\ &=& P(\text{two genes picked with repl. from } i \text{ and } j \text{ have diff. variants}) \end{array}$$

between all pairs of subpopulations i and j. The column vector

$$\mathbf{h}_t = \mathsf{vec}\left((h_{tij})_{i,j=1}^s
ight)$$

with s^2 predicted gene diversities satisfies

$$\mathbf{h}_{t+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{h}_t \Longrightarrow \mathbf{h}_t = \mathbf{A}^t \mathbf{h}_0, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = (A_{ij,kl})_{ij,kl \in \{1,\ldots,s\} \times \{1,\ldots,s\}}$$

is a square matrix of order s^2 , and⁴

$$\lambda = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}). \tag{4}$$

³Maruyama (1970), Felsenstein (1972), Nei (1973).

Backward migration and coalescence theory to find A

If children pick parental subpopulations independently, with

$$B_{ik}$$
 = probability by which genes in *i* pick
parental subpopulations from $k \in \{1, ..., s\}$,

$$\begin{array}{lll} p_{ijk} &= & \mbox{coalescence probability within } k \\ &= & P(\mbox{two genes from } i,j \mbox{ with parents in } k, \mbox{ have same parent}) \\ &= & \frac{N_k}{2N_iN_jB_{ik}B_{jk}} \left(\frac{E(\nu_{tki1}(\nu_{tki1}-1))}{1-\frac{1}{2N_i}}\right)^{\{i=j\}} E(\nu_{tki1}\nu_{tkj1})^{\{i\neq j\}}. \end{array}$$

Then (3) holds, with

$$A_{ij,kl} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2N_i}\right)^{\{i=j\}} \left(\frac{1 - p_{ijk}}{1 - \frac{1}{2N_k}}\right)^{\{k=l\}} B_{ik} B_{jl}.$$
 (5)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Motivation of (3) and (5)

We have that

$$\begin{split} h_{t+1,ij} &= P(\text{genes from } i \text{ and } j \text{ at time } t+1 \text{ have different variants}) \\ &= P(\text{different genes picked from } i \text{ and } j \text{ at time } t+1) \\ &\sum_{k,l} \cdot P(\text{gene from } i \text{ has parent from } k \text{ at time } t) \\ &\cdot P(\text{gene from } j \text{ has parent from } l \text{ at time } t) \\ &\cdot P(\text{different parents from } k \text{ and } l) \\ &\cdot P(\text{different variants of the different parents at time } t) \\ &= (1 - \frac{1}{2N_i})^{\{i=j\}} \sum_{k,l} B_{ik} B_{jl} (1 - p_{ijk})^{\{k=l\}} \cdot h_{t,kl} / (1 - \frac{1}{2N_k})^{\{k=l\}} \\ &= \sum_{k,l} A_{ij,kl} h_{t,kl}. \end{split}$$

with $A_{ij,kl}$ as in (5). In vector form this writes

$$\mathbf{h}_{t+1} = \mathbf{A}_t \mathbf{h}_t.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Computation of N_{eE}



$$p_{ijk} = 1/(2N_k),$$

 N_{eE} = 970,

Gene diversity effective size N_{eG} Let

 $W_{ij} = P(\text{choose gene pair from } i \text{ and } j),$

and collect them into row vector of length s^2 :

$$\mathbf{W} = \operatorname{vec}\left((W_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq s}\right)'.$$

Predicted gene diversity for two randomly sampled genes at time t, is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h_t &=& P(\text{the two genes have different variants}) \\ &=& \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq s} W_{ij} h_{tij} \\ &=& \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h}_t \\ &=& \mathbf{W} \mathbf{A}^t \mathbf{h}_0. \end{array}$$

It follows from (1) and (2), that for Wright-Fisher model

$$h_t = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2N}\right)^t \cdot h_0. \tag{6}$$

Gene diversity effective size over time interval [0, t] solves (6), i.e.

$$N_{eG}([0,t]) = \frac{1}{2\left[1 - \left(\frac{h_t}{h_0}\right)^{1/t}\right]} \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{2(1-\lambda)} = N_{eE}.$$

Local/global N_{eG} and N_{eE}

Constant subpop. sizes

Local bottleneck in 1

Blocked migration 1-2



Horizontal: N_{eE} Solid: $t \rightarrow N_{eG}([0, t])$ for whole population and subpopulations Global weights: $W_{ij} = 1/s^2$ Local weights, subpopulation k: $W_{ij} = 1_{\{(i,j)=(k,k)\}}$

▲ロト ▲圖 ト ▲ 画 ト ▲ 画 - - - の Q ()・

Proof of (4)

We have that

$$h_t = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_t = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}^t\mathbf{h}_0 = C\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A})^t + o\left(\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A})^t\right)$$
(7)

as $t \to \infty$. But also

$$h_{t} = \sum_{ij} W_{ij} h_{tij}$$

$$= \sum_{ij} W_{ij} E [X_{ti}(1 - X_{tj}) + X_{tj}(1 - X_{ti})]$$

$$= E [\phi(\mathbf{X}_{t})]$$

$$= E [E(\phi(\mathbf{X}_{t})|\mathbf{X}_{0})]$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} \pi(\mathbf{x}) P^{(t)}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \phi(\mathbf{y}),$$
(8)

where

$$\begin{array}{lll} \phi(\mathbf{x}) &=& \sum_{i,j} W_{ij} \left[x_i (1-x_j) + x_j (1-x_i) \right], \\ \pi(\mathbf{x}) &=& P(\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{x}), \\ \mathbf{P}^t &=& \left(P^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}); \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X} \right). \end{array}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ○ < ⊙ < ⊙

Perron-Frobenius

Block decompose transition matrix as

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{P}_{20} & \mathbf{P}_{21} & \mathbf{P}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{P}_{20}^{(t)} & \mathbf{P}_{21}^{(t)} & \mathbf{P}_{22}^{t} \end{pmatrix},$$

Since P_{22} is non-negative, irreducible and aperiodic, it has unique largest eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda_3$, and therefore

$$\mathcal{P}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \lambda^t r(\mathbf{x}) l(\mathbf{y}) + o(\lambda^t), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_2, \tag{9}$$

where

$$I = (l(\mathbf{x}); \, \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_2) \mathbf{r} = (r(\mathbf{x}); \, \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_2)'$$

are left and right eigenvectors of \mathbf{P}_2 with eigenvalue λ and components

$$l(\mathbf{x}) > 0,$$

 $r(\mathbf{x}) > 0,$
(10)

for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_2$.

Proof of (4), contd.

Use (8), (9), (10) and the fact that

$$\begin{array}{lll} \phi(\mathbf{x}) &=& 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_0 \cup \mathcal{X}_1, \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}) &>& 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_2 \text{ (if all } W_{ij} > 0), \\ \pi(\mathbf{x}) &>& 0, \quad \text{for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_2, \end{array}$$

to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} h_t &= \lambda^t \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_2} \pi(\mathbf{x}) r(\mathbf{x}) l(\mathbf{y}) \phi(\mathbf{y}) + o(\lambda^t) \\ &= \lambda^t \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_2} \pi(\mathbf{x}) r(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_2} l(\mathbf{y}) \phi(\mathbf{y}) + o(\lambda^t) \\ &= C \lambda^t + o(\lambda^t), \end{aligned}$$

with C > 0. Combining this with (7), we finally deduce

$$\lambda = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Other topics

- Various types of structure (geographic, age, sex, combinations, ...).
- Computer program GESP (Olsson et al, 2015).
- Large population asymptotics:

$$N_{eE} = rac{N}{C_1} + o(N) = N_{eC} + o(N) ext{ as } N o \infty,$$

where N_{eC} is coalescence effective size⁵ and C_1 a coalescence rate.

Small migration asymptotics:

$$N_{eE}=rac{N}{C_2B}+o(B^{-1})$$
 as $B
ightarrow 0,$

where B = long term rate of subpopulation change in ancestral line.

Leading right eigenvector of A to assess subpopulation differentiation⁶

⁵Nordborg and Krone (2002), Sjödin et al. (2005).

 $^{{}^{6}}F_{ST}$ of Wright (1943), G_{ST} of Nei (1973).

References

Hössjer, O. (2011). Coalescence theory for a general class of structured populations with fast migration. Advances in Probability Theory 43(4), 1027-1047.

Hössjer, O., Jorde, P.E. and Ryman, N. (2013). Quasi equilibrium approximations of the fixation index under neutrality: The island model. *Theoretical Population Biology* **84**, 9-24.

Ryman, N., Allendorf, F.W., Jorde P.E., Laikre, L. and Hössjer, O. (2013). Samples from subdivided populations yield biased estimates of effective size that overestimate the rate of loss of genetic variation. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 14, 87-99.

Olsson, F. Hössjer, O., Laikre, L. and Ryman, N. (2013). Characteristics of the variance effective population size over time using an age structured model with variable size. *Theoretical Population Biology* **90**, 91-103.

Hössjer, O. (2014). Spatial autocorrelation for subdivided populations with invariant migration schemes. Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability 16(4), 777-810. DOI 10.1007/s11009-013-9321-3.

Hössjer, O. and Ryman, N. (2014). Quasi equilibrium, variance effective population size and fixation index for models with spatial structure. *Journal of Mathematical Biology* **69**(5), 1057-1128. DOI 10.1007/s00285-013-0728-9.

Hössjer, O., Olsson, F., Laikre, L. and Ryman, N. (2014). A new general analytical approach for modeling patterns of genetic differentiation and effective size of subdivided populations over time. *Mathematical Biosciences* 258, 113-133. DOI: 10.1016/j.mbs.2014.10.001.

Hössjer, O., Olsson, F., Laikre, L. and Ryman, N. (2015). Metapopulation Inbreeding Dynamics, Effective Size and Subpopulation Differentiation - a General Analytical Approach for Diploid Organisms. *Theoretical Population Biology* **102**, 40-59. DOI:10.1016/j.tpb.2015.03.006.

Hössjer, O. (2015). On the eigenvalue effective size in structured populations. To appear in *Journal of Mathematical Biology*. Available online, DOI 10.1007/s00285-014-0832-5.

THANKS!